C.S. 100/- SINGLE BENCH (3)

(1)

THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2810 OF 2006

PETITIONER — K.L. Sori s/o Late C.S. Sori , aged about 46 years, Occupation Nayab Tahsildar Sub Tahsil- Sargaon, Tahsil Mungeli, District Bilaspur C.G.

P.R. No. 2882/06
Presented by Shri Rakesh Pandey
dated 14/6/06

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary Revenue Department, DKS Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.)
2. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Tahsil Mungeli, District Bilaspur C.G.
3. Shri B.S. Markam, Nayab Tahsildar Sub Tahsil Sargaon, Tahsil Mungeli, District Bilaspur C.G.

COPY

BILASPUR

14/6/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

19

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.(W)...2138/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	16.6.2006:- Heard Shri Rakesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this petition is that the petitioner is working presently on the post of Naib Tehsildar, Sargaon, Bilaspur. Vide impugned order dated 8-6-2006 Shri B.S. Markam has been transferred on the post of Naib Tehsildar, Sargaon without passing any order regarding posting of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the said order is going to create problem for the reason that there is only one post on which the petitioner is already working and another person i.e. respondent No.3 has also been posted on that post, therefore, this order is going to create administrative problem as well as payment of salary to the petitioner and respondent No.3. In the circumstances, the petitioner may be directed to make representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide representation to which counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances along with copy of the petition to respondent No.1 and in turn respondent No.1 to decide representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation, in accordance with law. Till the representation is decided, the petitioner should not be disturbed from the present place of posting. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2138/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		

16/6-06